

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/605,510	1	0/03/2003	Mark Costanzo	2225.0	2225.0 2509	
9748	7590	09/10/2004		EXAMINER		
LAITRAM	I, L.L.C.		RIDLEY, RICHARD			
LEGAL DE 220 LAITR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HARAHAN	I, LA 701	23		3651		

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assista Commence		10/605,510	COSTANZO, MARK	91			
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Richard Ridley	3651				
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the r - If the period for reply specified at - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or e	THIS COMMUNICATION. ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 nailing date of this communication. sove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period wextended period for reply will, by statute, later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH() 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ın.			
Status							
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on <u>03 Au</u>	<u>igust 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINA	L. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above cl. 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-16,19</u> , 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 9-1</u> 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 8</u>	<u>21-27,29 and 31</u> is/are allow <u>2</u> is/are rejected.		eration.				
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
, ,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	• ' '	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj aminer. Note the attached Office		d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19						
a) All b) Some 1. Certified cop 2. Certified cop 3. Copies of the application for	* c) None of: sies of the priority documents sies of the priority documents e certified copies of the prior rom the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	PTO 802)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO.413)				
 Notice of References Cited (F2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate Information Disclosure Stater Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-3-0 	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species I, fig. 1-4, claims 1-6, 8-12, 14-16, 19, 21-27, 29, 31 in the reply filed on 8-3-04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner has not shown burdensome search, separate status in the art or separate classification (the applicant cites MPEP § 803 & 808.02) and argues that the Election of Species requirement is therefore improper.

This is not found persuasive since the arguments do not appear to be germane to the issue of Election of Species. The examiner notes MPEP 808.01(a), which states "... it is not necessary to show a separate status in the art or separate classification.....". See MPEP 808.01(a).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,510 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 3. Claims 1, 9, 10, 11, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Costanzo '323. Costanzo discloses a similar device comprising a(n):
- > First conveyor belt (104) that includes a plurality of article-supporting rollers
- > Second conveyor belt (106) arranged to run at a second velocity

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 14-16, 19, 21-27, 29, 31 allowed.
- 5. Claims 2-6, 8 areobjected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,510 Page 4

Art Unit: 3651

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Ridley whose telephone number is (703) 306-5910. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 7:00 am - 5:15 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (703) 308-1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Ridley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651

Richard Ridley

8 Sept 2004